Grammaticalisation in Burmese: Nominalisation, Focus and Case particles in historical and typological perspective

Dr. Wolfram Schaffar University of Bonn

Abstract

One of the most striking features of modern spoken Burmese is the ambiguity of nominalized verb forms (*ha*) which can occur as nominal arguments of a verb as well as in sentence final position with the function of a finite verb. Another notoriously difficult phenomenon is the ambiguity of nominal particles (*kou, ka*) which can either mark the thematic role of a nominal argument similar to case particles or which can mark emphasis without any thematic specification. The same kind of ambiguities with nominalized verb forms and nominal particles are documented in Lahu and other Tibeto-Burman languages of Southeast Asia. However, in the reference grammars and dictionaries that are available for Burmese and Lahu these ambiguities are treated as categorically different and mutually unrelated phenomena.

In a historical syntactic analysis which treats syntactical ambiguities as signs of a grammaticalization process, I argue that both phenomena are systematically interrelated. Drawing on a parallel development which can be found in Japanese I will show that today's case marking function of *kou* and finite verb particle *de* is a grammaticalized form of an old Burmese focus construction with *kou* as focus marking particle and *thi* as nominalized verb form. Along the same lines I will explain the ambiguity of modern vernacular nominalized verb forms with ha in finite position. These constructions can be analysed as modern forms of focus constructions that are undergoing the same process of grammaticalization.

Whereas for Burmese, Lahu and Japanese this process has not been discussed in an integrated manner, the gramaticalization of focus constructions which reduces bi-clausal structures to mono-clausal structures is a well documented phenomenon in the general literature on grammaticalization.

If we follow this line of argumentation, we can expect that today's nominalized verb forms will lose their nominalized characteristics and be reinterpreted as unmarked finite verb forms in another cycle of re-analysis – a phenomenon that can already be documented with statistical analysis of modern spoken Burmese.

References:

Bernot, Denise, 1980, *Le pre'dicat en birman parle'*. In the series: *Langues et civilisations de l'Asie du sud-est et du monde*. Paris: Insulindien.

Hopple, Paulette M., 2003, The structure of nominalization in Burmese.

Matisoff, James A., 1973, *The Grammar of Lahu*. University of California Publications in Linguistics, No. 75. University of California Press, Berkeley, Los Angeles, London.

Okell, John, 1969, A Reference Grammar of Colloquial Burmese. London: Oxford University Press.

Schaffar, Wolfram, 2003, Die Informationsstruktur der japanischen Sprache. Eine Analyse von Nominalisierungen, Fokuspartikeln und Kakari-Musubi-Konstruktionen im Modernen Japanischen, Klassischen Japanischen und in der Sprache von Ryûkyû. Hamburg/Muenster, Lit.